Approved For Release 2002/08/21	I : CIA-RDP80R01 <mark>731R0004</mark>	00620010-3	
			5/1-a
	•		
po it	1 MAY 1954		<u>, </u>
Mr. Villism Benton Publisher & Cheirmen Encyclopaedia Britannica 342 Medison Avenue	•	\$	STAT
New York 17, Hew York			
Dear Bill:			The state of the s
Many thanks for the new letter to the <u>Hew York Times</u> thoughtful to bring to my att	which you were so tention.		
appreciate your kindness in w	miting as you did to		
With kindest personal re	gards.		•
	Sincerely,		
•	SIGNED		
A1.	len W. Dulles Director		
D/DCI/28 April 59 Distribution: Drig - Addressee	•	STAT	
L - Col. Gregan L - AAB L - ER w/basic			

(EALCOTTE)
Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000400620010-3

STAT



Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000400620010-3

ENCYCLOPAEDIA BRITANNICA

342 MADISON AVENUE SUITE 702 NEW YORK 17, N.Y.

WILLIAM BENTON
PUBLISHER & CHAIRMAN

April 24, 1959

Dear Allen,

I think you may be interested in my letter to the New York Times of this morning in which I tried to pay you tribute. Again I congratulate you on your New Orleans speech.

Sincerely,

William Benton

The Honorable Allen Dulles Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C.

Attachment arh

Letters to

Appraising Soviet Output

Allen Dulles' Speech Declared a Warning of Russian Potential

The writer of the following letter is a former Assistant Secretary of State and has served as United commentators concluded * * *. States Senator from Connecticut.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE NEW YORK TIMES: The April 9 issue of The Times carried a front-page story with the headlines: "Soviet Won't Top U. S. 1970 Output, C. I. A. Chief Says"; "Allen Dulles Discounts Idea Russians Will Pull Ahead in Total Production."

On the night that Mr. Dulles spoke in New Orleans I spoke in Chicago on the same subject—but with an emphasis far different from that conveyed in The Times account of the Dulles speech. I tried to warn that the Soviets might succeed in their fourfold effort to outproduce the United States, outstrip us in foreign trade, displace the dollar with the ruble and outmatch us in foreign aid.

Those who read the full text of Allen Dulles' thoughtful remarks in that issue of The Times, and those who read The Times editorial comment on April 13, will have gauged correctly the sobering substance of what Mr. Dulles had to say: a strong warning that, while some of Khrushchev's claims for the Seven-Year Plan may be wishful thinking, at current rates of growth Soviet industry is steadily and rapidly narrowing the gap between its output and that of the United States. Further automation can in many ways be more effectively applied in a totalitarian society.

Rate of Growth

I fear that many casual readers will not have studied the editorial, This responsibility extends not only or studied the text, and will have to the editorial writers and the seen only the misleading front-page special correspondents but to the headlines. The news story which reporter, the copy desk and the followed was equally misleading make-up desk. The first quote our casual reader would see is that Dulles labeled as "nonsense" Khrushchev's prediction that the Soviet economy would lead

the world by 1910. The news story does not mention what The Times editorial later pointed out: Dulles' warning that if our future industrial growth is only 2 per cent a year the United States will be virtually committing economic suicide." Our growth for the past two years has been less than 2 per cent; indeed on balance we have been receding.

Further on in the news story one reads that the "United States electric utility industry, for one, was widening its lead over the Soviet Union in the production of electricity." The news story does not report that Dulles cited this factwhich has received a great deal of publicity elsewhere—only to emphasize that "what is true about electricity is not true (for energy production) across the board, as some Soviet production of coal, petroleum, natural gas and hydroelectric power amounted to 45 per cent of United States production in 1958. By 1965 it will be close to 60 per cent."

As a whole, the news story failed markedly to convey Mr. Dulles strong warning on the danger posed for us by the Soviet economic chal-

Estimate of Challenge

Mr. Dulles' speech was an honest and dispassionate appraisal of this challenge. Because he is head of the Central Intelligence Agency it was a most important estimate. His speech was meant to dispel the notion that the Communists are supermen.

AMERICAN STREET

More importantly, it was obviously meant to warn that we could lose to the Communists-"because of our complacency and because they have devoted a far greater share of their power, skill and resources to our destruction than we have been willing to dedicate to our own preservation."

The evidence is overwhelming that American public opinion has tended consistently to underestimate the Soviet threat rather than to overestimate it. We have, as Barbara Ward has said, an "ideo logical prejudice with respect to the facts about the Soviet Union.'

The press, along with our other media, has a responsibility to combat ignorance here in this area as with other great issues of our times.

WILLIAM BENTON. Southport, Conn., April 17, 1959.